

**THE FOLLOWING IS A FINAL LIST OF STATEWIDE PROPOSALS
QUALIFIED TO APPEAR ON THE NOVEMBER 7, 2006, GENERAL
ELECTION BALLOT AS APPROVED BY THE BUREAU OF ELECTIONS**

Proposal 1: A proposed constitutional amendment to require that money held in conservation and recreation funds can only be used for their intended purposes.

(No Website found)

A proposed constitutional amendment would:

- Create a Conservation and Recreation Legacy Fund within the Constitution and establish existing conservation and recreation accounts as components of the fund.
- Use current funding sources such as state park entrance and camping fees; snowmobile, ORV and boating registration fees; hunting and fishing license fees; taxes and other revenues to fund accounts.
- Establish the current Game and Fish Protection Fund and the Non-game Fish and Wildlife Fund within the Constitution.
- Provide that money held in Funds can only be used for specific purposes related to conservation and recreation and cannot be used for any purpose other than those intended.

Proposal 2: A proposal to amend the state constitution to ban affirmative action programs that give preferential treatment to groups or individuals based on their race, gender, color, ethnicity, or national origin for public employment, education or contracting purposes.

www.oneunitedmichigan.org

www.michigancivilrights.org

- Ban public institutions from using affirmative action programs that give preferential treatment to groups or individuals based on their race, gender, color, ethnicity or national origin for public employment, education or contracting purposes. Public institutions affected by the proposal include state government, local governments, public colleges and universities, community colleges and school districts.

- Prohibit public institutions from discriminating against groups or individuals due to their gender, ethnicity, race, color or national origin (A separate provision of the state constitution already prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin.)

Proposal 3: A referendum on Public Act 160 of 2004 – an act to allow the establishment of a hunting season for Mourning Doves.

www.michigan-sportsman.com/editorials/hb5029.htm

www.hsus.org/wildlife/issues_facing_wildlife/hunting/restore_michigans_dove_shooting_ban.html

Public Act 160 of 2004 would:

- Authorize the Natural Resources Commission to establish a hunting season for Mourning Doves.
- Require a Mourning Dove hunter to have a small game license and a \$2.00 Mourning Dove stamp.
- Stipulate that revenue from the stamp must be split evenly between the Game and Fish Protection Fund and the Fish and Wildlife Trust Fund.
- Require the Department of Natural Resources to address responsible Mourning Dove hunting; management practices for the propagation of Mourning Doves; and participation in Mourning Dove hunting by youth, the elderly and the disabled in the Department's annual hunting guide.

Proposal 4: A proposed constitutional amendment to prohibit government from taking private property by eminent domain for certain private purposes.

www.cato.org/pub_display.php?pub_id=3518

The proposed constitutional amendment would:

- Prohibit government from taking private property for transfer to another private individual or business for purposes of economic development or increasing tax revenue.
- Provide that if an individual's residence is taken by government for public use the individual must be paid at least 125% of property's fair market value.

- Require government that takes a private property to demonstrate that the taking is for a public use: if taken to eliminate blight; require a higher standard of proof to demonstrate that the taking of that property is for public use.
- Preserve existing rights of property owners.

Proposal 5: A legislative initiative to establish mandatory school funding levels.

www.stopthespendingmandate.com

www.mea.org/public/index.html

The proposed law would:

- Increase current funding by approximately \$565 million and require the State to provide annual funding increases equal to the rate of inflation for public schools, intermediate school districts, community colleges, and higher education (includes state universities and financial aid/grant programs.)
- Require the State to fund any deficiencies from the General Fund.
- Base funding for school districts with a declining enrollment on three-year student enrollment average.
- Reduce and cap retirement fund contribution paid by public schools, community colleges and state universities; shift remaining portion to state.
- Reduce funding gap between school districts receiving basic per-pupil foundation allowance and those receiving maximum foundation allowance.

NOTE: The proposal known as the “stop overspending” SOS proposal was not qualified by the Board of Canvassers due to insufficient valid signatures. Proponents are appealing the ruling in the courts. Should this proposal make the ballot, a website to get more information is:

www.mml.org/legislative/bills/sos.htm

www.rppi.org/apr2004/tabor.shtml